Open Social Protocols

A Commons-Based Protocol Layer for Human Coordination

Jose Leal • Scott Clearwater
Radical.World


They hope to build something different.


The experts know what to do.



They begin with the practical needs.


Life
Prioritize life over ideology!
Stories are stories life is reality
Stories are important but they must serve life not themselves
Stay true to serving life
Life is relationships
Northstar is a living relationship
Stay true to being grounded in life
Northstar is not a thing it is our story
Partners
Co-regulation
Making Decisions
Clients
Create stories that inform & resonate
Northstar is a living relationship
Design
Form follows function
Hierarchy
Team members
Family
What else is possible?



Coordination without forcing agreement.



What happened when they tried it?


With protocols they built an evolving organizational system.

Building a Protocolized Ecosystem



rProtocols — Reference Application

- Discover Practical Needs
- Propose and Adopt Protocols
- Form groups and coordinate
- Contribute feedback



Open Social Protocols — Shared Specification

- Needs and Protocols
- Adoptions and Feedback
- Bridges, Revisions, and Forks
- Connections Across Applications



Common Ground Protocol — Conceptual Framework

- Shared Observation
- Practical Needs
- Life Space Grounding
- Life-Serving Common Ground



AT Protocol — Technical Foundation

- Portable Identity
- Personal Data Repositories
- Shared Data Formats
- Atmosphere Ecosystem

You are viewing synthetic demonstration data. These records are not published on the AT Protocol network.

Search Needs and Protocols (e.g. 'purpose', 'conflict', 'governance', 'equity')...

Needs and Protocols

Explore documented needs and proposed ways of meeting them.

All • Needs • Protocols

SORT: Newest

PROTOCOL	Agree Care and Access for Shared Resources	uwkd5akj.1.1	Root	1	0
PROTOCOL	Choose a Pause, Repair, or Departure	ut7hz6iq.1.1	Root	0	0
PROTOCOL	Choose and Review How We Work Together	4qhxehpp.1.1	Root	0	0
PROTOCOL	Clarify and Revisit Shared Direction	x47d3cum.1.1	Root	3	0
PROTOCOL	Combine Equal Shares with Contribution-Based Compensation	rfy7zwbt.1.1	Root	0	0
PROTOCOL	Decide Through Proposals and Objections	pw7dujux.1.1	Root	0	0
PROTOCOL	Distribute an Equal Base Share	jyocvmrs.1.1	Root	0	0
PROTOCOL	Record Decisions and Review What Happens	jbqv4v3w.1.1	Root	0	0

NEED We need to address harm and determine whether and how to continue.

PROTOCOL

Choose a Pause, Repair, or Departure

ut7hz6iq.1.1 | Root | 0 | 0

When cooperation is damaged, people need ways to limit continuing harm, recover and choose how to proceed.

Expand All

INTENT Why this approach · learning questions

SOCIAL 6 steps · considerations

1. An affected person may contact [response_contact] and request acknowledgement within [response_window]. That response offers options; the deadline does not compel disclosure, joint discussion or agreement.
2. Attend first to continuing exposure or urgent practical consequences. Ask separately what each person can decline and what consequences they expect.
3. Offer a pause, supported repair, revision of commitments, a temporary bridge or departure. Joint repair requires willing participation; departure does not require agreement to repair.
4. Where facilitation is wanted, use [facilitation_route]. Agree its scope and a route to question the facilitator or process independently of the other party.
5. Record only the information needed for the chosen path and any continuing obligations or handover. Preserve differences in participants' accounts.
6. Agree an appropriate follow-up. Ask separately about continuing effects, freedom of choice and fulfilled commitments. Do not treat settlement or retention as proof of recovery.

> Joint repair may be unwanted or may increase exposure. Different participants can choose different paths; a group's wish to continue must not define another person's recovery.

TECHNICAL No particular technology is required.

GROUNDING 5

Coordinates diverging remuneration stances between founders into a workable shared delta.

Maya's Stance: Contribution Reflection Pool (Committed) → Adopted Bridge (Trial)

Leo's Stance: Equal Base Split (Committed) → Adopted Bridge (Conditional)

Protocol Field Matrix

Derived cross-tabulation of each member's attributable Adoption stance across candidate Protocols.

PROTOCOL / BRIDGE	MAYA	LEO	NOOR	DOMINANCE
Living Purpose	Active	Active	Active	100%
Contribution Reflection Pool	Active	Active	Active	100%
Equal Base Split	—	Active	—	33%
Proposal and Objection	Active	Active	Active	100%
Stewardship Before Ownership	Active	Active	Active	100%
Pause, Repair, or Part	Active	Active	Active	100%
Lightweight Decision and Learning Log	Active	Active	Active	100%
Found a Team Without Boilerplate	Active	Active	Active	100%
BRIDGE Equal Base with a Contribution Delta	Active	Active	—	67%

Come Build Open Social Protocols with us

(Checkout Scott's talk @ 2:30pm Pacific)

Jose Leal •
Scott Clearwater •
http://radical.world